

1. THE INTEGRATED OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM.

$$\pi^m = [p - c - \Phi(s)] D(p, s)$$

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial p} = D(p^m, s^m) + [p^m - c - \Phi(s^m)] \frac{\partial D}{\partial p} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial s} = -\Phi'(s) D(p^m, s^m) + [p^m - c - \Phi(s^m)] \frac{\partial D}{\partial s} = 0$$

NOW CONSIDER THE PROBLEMS FOR THE UNINTEGRATED RETAILER.

$$\pi = [p - p_w - \Phi(s)] D(p, s)$$

$$\text{s.t. } D(p, s) = q$$

$$L = [p - p_w - \Phi(s)] D(p, s) - \lambda [q - D(p, s)]$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial p} = D(p, s) + [p - p_w - \Phi(s) + \lambda] \frac{\partial D}{\partial p} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial s} = -\Phi'(s) D(p, s) + [p - p_w - \Phi(s) + \lambda] \frac{\partial D}{\partial s} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = q - D(p, s) = 0 \Rightarrow D(p, s) = D(p^m, s^m)$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{\Phi'(s)} = \frac{\frac{\partial D}{\partial p}}{\frac{\partial D}{\partial s}}$$

THIS SAME CONDITION IS IMPLIED BY THE THE INTEGRATED FOC. SO WITH THE SAME q , WE WILL GET THE SAME p, s CHOSEN. ALL PROFITS ARE EXTRACTED WITH $p_r = p^m - \Phi(s^m)$ ALSO SEE THROUGH ANSWER FOR EXERCISE 4.3

2. (a) IF THE REGULATOR ONLY ~~IS~~ HAS THE LOW COST FIRM PRODUCE THEN ONLY THE LOW COST PRODUCERS (IC) CONSTRAINT NEEDS TO HOLD AND HE CAN INDUCE THE EFFICIENT OUTPUT FROM THE FIRM. HENCE,

$$P_L = c'(D(P_L) | L)$$

$$T_L = P_L D(P_L) - c(D(P_L) | L) - \underline{\pi}$$

WHEN THE REGULATOR ONLY HAS THE LOW COST FIRM PRODUCE IT'S PAY OFF IS

$$\Phi_L \lambda S(P_L) \quad \text{WHERE } S(\cdot) \text{ IS THE } \del{CONSUMER} \text{ SURPLUS FROM THE FIRM'S OUTPUT.}$$

IF THIS PAYOFF IS HIGHER THAN THE MAXIMUM PAYOFF TO THE REGULATOR FROM ~~SELLING~~ HAVING BOTH TYPES PRODUCE THEN IT IS BETTER HAVING ~~SELLING~~ ONLY THE LOW TYPE PRODUCE.

(b) IF LOW COST IS ANNOUNCED, THEN HAVE THE FIRM PRODUCE EFFICIENTLY AND TAX T_L . IF HIGH COST IS ANNOUNCED, THE AUDIT WITH PROB S AND TAX T_H WHERE $i \in \{L, H\}$ IS THE RESULT OF THE AUDIT. IF THERE IS NO AUDIT, TAX ASSUMING THAT THE AUDIT COINCIDES WITH THE ANNOUNCEMENT.

TO SEE THAT THIS SATISFIES (IC) AND (IR) CONSTRAINTS NOTE THAT

$$(IC) \Leftrightarrow \pi(x_L|L) - T_L \geq \pi(x_H|L) - (1-s)T_{HH} - s[\psi_{LL}T_{HL} + \psi_{HL}T_{HH}] \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x_H|H) - (1-s)T_{HH} - s[\psi_{LH}T_{HL} + \psi_{HH}T_{HH}] &\geq \\ &\geq \pi(x_L|H) - T_L \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$(IR) \pi(x_L|L) - T_L \geq \underline{\pi} \quad (3)$$

$$\pi(x_H|H) - (1-s)T_{HH} - s[\psi_{LH}T_{HL} + \psi_{HH}T_{HH}] \geq \underline{\pi} \quad (4)$$

FULL EXTRACTION FROM THE L TYPE IMPLIES (3) HOLDS WITH EQUALITY AND (2) MUST BE SATISFIED IF (4) IS SATISFIED. SO THAT ~~IT~~ MEANS THAT

$T_L = \pi(x_L|L) - \underline{\pi}$ AND (1) AND (4) IMPLIES (2)+(3) SO FOR $T_L = \pi(x_L|L) - \underline{\pi}$ IF T_{HL} AND T_{HH} CAN BE FOUND THAT SATISFY (1) AND (4), THEN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION EXISTS.

3. THE REGULATOR SEEKS TO PICK A MENU OF CONTRACTS $(x(\theta), T(\theta))$ THAT SOLVES

$$\max_{x(\theta), T(\theta)} \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\bar{\theta}} \left\{ \lambda \left[\int_0^{x(\theta)} (\theta - s) ds - (\theta - x(\theta))x(\theta) + T(\theta) \right] + (1-\lambda)\pi(\theta) \right\} f(\theta) d\theta$$

ST. (IC) AND (IR) CONSTRAINTS.

WHERE $\pi(\theta)$ IS THE EXPECTED PROFIT OF THE MONOPOLIST GIVEN IT IS TYPE θ .

$\forall \theta, \tilde{\theta}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(IC)} \Rightarrow \pi(\theta) &\geq (\theta - x(\tilde{\theta}))x(\tilde{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2}x(\tilde{\theta})^2 - T(\tilde{\theta}) \\ &\Rightarrow \pi(\theta) - \pi(\tilde{\theta}) \geq [\theta - x(\tilde{\theta})]x(\tilde{\theta}) - [\tilde{\theta} - x(\tilde{\theta})]x(\tilde{\theta}) \\ &= [\theta - \tilde{\theta}]x(\tilde{\theta}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow [\theta - \tilde{\theta}]x(\tilde{\theta}) \geq \pi(\theta) - \pi(\tilde{\theta}) \geq [\theta - \tilde{\theta}]x(\tilde{\theta})$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi(\theta) - \pi(\tilde{\theta}) = \int_{\tilde{\theta}}^{\theta} x(s) ds$$

THE LOWEST PROFIT WOULD GO TO A MONOPOLIST OF TYPE $\underline{\theta}$. HENCE, THE REGULATOR SHOULD

EXTRACT ALL OF TYPE $\underline{\theta}$ 'S PROFITS. NORMALIZING PRESERVATION UTILITY TO ZERO, $\pi(\underline{\theta}) = 0$

$$\text{AND } \pi(\theta) = \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\theta} x(s) ds.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\bar{\theta}} \pi(\theta) f(\theta) d\theta &= \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\bar{\theta}} \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\theta} x(s) ds f(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\bar{\theta}} x(s) f(s) ds \int_s^{\bar{\theta}} f(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \int_{\underline{\theta}}^{\bar{\theta}} [1 - F(\theta)] x(\theta) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$T(\theta) = [\theta - x(\theta)] x(\theta) - \frac{1}{2} x(\theta)^2 - \int_0^{\theta} x(s) ds$$

SO THE REGULATOR'S OBJECTIVE FUNCTION IS:

$$\int_0^{\bar{\theta}} \left\{ \lambda \left[\int_0^{x(\theta)} [\theta - s] ds - \frac{1}{2} x(\theta)^2 \right] - (2\lambda - 1) \frac{1 - F(\theta)}{f(\theta)} x(\theta) \right\} f(\theta) d\theta$$

APPLYING POINTWISE OPTIMIZATION, THE FIRST ORDER CONDITION FOR THE OPTIMAL $x(\theta)$ IS

$$[\theta - x(\theta)] - x(\theta) - \frac{2\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \frac{1 - F(\theta)}{f(\theta)} = 0$$

IF OUTPUT IS EFFICIENT, THEN $\theta = 2x(\theta)$. OR
 $x(\theta) = \theta/2$.

$$x(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \theta - \frac{1}{2} \frac{2\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \frac{1 - F(\theta)}{f(\theta)}$$